UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 3 JULIA JUNGE and RICHARD JUNGE, on Case No. 3:20-cv-00547-WHA (DMR) 4 behalf of themselves and a class of similarly situated investors. Class Action 5 Plaintiffs, (Consolidated with Case No. 3:20-cv-01163-WHA) 6 v. 7 (Related to Case No. 3:20-cv-02823-WHA; GERON CORPORATION and JOHN A. 3:22-mc-80051-WHA) 8 SCARLETT, [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT 9 APPROVING CLASS ACTION Defendants. SETTLEMENT 10 11 WHEREAS, a consolidated securities class action is pending in this Court captioned Julia Junge and Richard Junge v. Geron Corporation and John A. Scarlett, Case No. 3:20-cv-00547-13 WHA (the "Action")¹; 14 WHEREAS, by Order dated April 2, 2022, the Court certified the Action to proceed as a class action on behalf of all persons who purchased Geron Corporation ("Geron") common stock 15 16 during the period from March 19, 2018, to September 26, 2018, inclusive (the "Class Period"), and who were damaged thereby,² appointed Lead Plaintiffs Julia Junge and Richard Junge as Class 17 18 Representatives for the Class, and appointed Lead Counsel Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer LLP ("Kaplan 19 20 ¹ The Court's docket reflects the case name as *Tollen v. Geron Corp. et al*, Case No. 3:20-cv-00547-WHA, which was amended by Lead Plaintiffs. (ECF Nos. 92, 103.) 21 ² Excluded from the Class by definition are the Defendants, directors and officers of Geron, and 22 their Families and affiliates. Also excluded from the Class are: (i) the persons and entities who excluded themselves by submitting a request for exclusion from the Class by July 22, 2022, or whose 23 late notice to be excluded from the Class has been accepted by the Court, in connection with the Original Class Notice (as set forth on Appendix 1 to the Stipulation); and (ii) any persons or entities 24 who exclude themselves by submitting a request for exclusion in connection with the Settlement Notice. A complete list of exclusions reported to the Court as timely received as of March 9, 2023, by Epiq Claims and Class Solutions, the Claims Administrator appointed by the Court, is attached 26 at Appendix 1 to this Judgment. Phillip Tougas and Patricia O'Grady, who excluded themselves from the Class in response to the Original Class Notice, and were identified at numbers 68 and 75 27 on the Appendix 1 to the Stipulation (ECF No. 247, at 38 of 38), have since submitted a Proof of Claim and Release Form in connection with the Settlement, and therefore, are deemed to have 28

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Case No. 3:20-cv -00547-WHA (DMR)

withdrawn their respective exclusion requests.

6 7

8

9

5

10 11

13

12

15 16

17 18

19 20

22

23

21

24 25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, due and adequate notice has been given to the Class;

WHEREAS, the Court conducted a hearing on March 30, 2023, at 11:00 a.m. Pacific Time

Fox") as Class Counsel for the Class;

WHEREAS, by Order dated May 3, 2022, the Court approved the proposed form and content of the Original Class Notice to be disseminated to the Class Members to notify them of, among other things: (i) the Action pending against Defendants; (ii) the Court's certification of the Action to proceed as a class action on behalf of the Class; and (iii) their right to request to be excluded from the Class by July 22, 2022, the effect of remaining in the Class or requesting exclusion, and the requirements for requesting exclusion;

WHEREAS, the Original Class Notice was mailed beginning on May 23, 2022, to all potential Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort, resulting in the mailing of over 116,079 copies of the Original Class Notice, and 74 requests for exclusion representing 81 individuals were reported as received by Epiq Class Action and Claims Solutions, Inc. at the time of entry of the Stipulation;

WHEREAS, (a) Julia Junge and Richard Junge ("Lead Plaintiffs" and "Class Representatives"), on behalf of themselves and the Class; and (b) defendants Geron and Dr. John A. Scarlett ("Dr. Scarlett," and together with Geron, "Defendants," and together with Lead Plaintiffs, the "Parties") have entered into a Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated September 2, 2022 (the "Stipulation"), that provides for a complete dismissal with prejudice of the claims asserted against Defendants in the Action on the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation, subject to the approval of this Court (the "Settlement");

WHEREAS, unless otherwise defined in this Judgment, the capitalized terms herein shall have the same meaning as they have in the Stipulation;

WHEREAS, by Order dated October 13, 2022 (the "Preliminary Approval Order"), and the October 17, 2022, Order Setting Schedule in Advance of March 30, 2023, Settlement Fairness Hearing (ECF Nos. 253 and 259), this Court: (a) preliminarily approved the Settlement; (b) ordered that notice of the proposed Settlement be provided to Class Members; and (c) scheduled a hearing regarding final approval of the Settlement;

(the "Settlement Fairness Hearing") to consider, among other things, (a) whether the terms and conditions of the Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class, and should therefore be approved; and (b) whether a judgment should be entered dismissing the Action with prejudice as against the Defendants; and

WHEREAS, the Court having reviewed and considered the Stipulation, all papers filed and proceedings held herein in connection with the Settlement, all oral and written comments received regarding the Settlement, and the record in the Action, and good cause appearing therefor;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

- 1. <u>Jurisdiction</u> The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action, and all matters relating to the Settlement, as well as personal jurisdiction over all of the Parties and each of the Class Members.
- 2. <u>Incorporation of Settlement Documents</u> This Judgment incorporates and makes a part hereof: (a) the Stipulation filed with the Court on September 2, 2022; and (b) the Settlement Notice and the Summary Settlement Notice.
- 3. Notice The Court finds that the dissemination of the Settlement Notice and the publication of the Summary Settlement Notice: (a) were implemented in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order; (b) constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances; (c) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Class Members of (i) the effect of the proposed Settlement (including the Releases to be provided thereunder); (ii) Lead Counsel's motion for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses; (iii) their right to object to any aspect of the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, and/or Lead Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses; and (iv) their right to appear at the Settlement Fairness Hearing; (d) constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to receive notice of the proposed Settlement; and (e) satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4, as amended, and all other applicable law and rules.

- 4. <u>Final Settlement Approval and Dismissal of Claims</u> Pursuant to, and in accordance with, Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby fully and finally approves the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation in all respects (including, without limitation: the amount of the Settlement; the Releases provided for therein; and the dismissal with prejudice of the claims asserted against Defendants in the Action), and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class. The Parties are directed to implement, perform, and consummate the Settlement in accordance with the terms and provisions contained in the Stipulation.
- 5. The Action and all of the claims asserted against Defendants in the Action by Lead Plaintiffs and the other Class Members are hereby dismissed with prejudice. The Parties shall bear their own costs and expenses, except as otherwise expressly provided in the Stipulation.
- 6. <u>Binding Effect</u> The terms of the Stipulation and of this Judgment shall be forever binding on Defendants, Lead Plaintiffs and all other Class Members (regardless of whether or not any individual Class Member submits a Claim Form or seeks or obtains a distribution from the Net Settlement Fund), as well as their respective successors and assigns. A list of potential Class Members requesting exclusion as of March 9, 2023, according to the records of the Claims Administrator, is set forth in Appendix 1 hereto.
- 7. Releases The Releases set forth in paragraphs 4 and 5 of the Stipulation, together with the defined terms contained in the Stipulation, are expressly incorporated herein in all respects. The Releases are effective as of the Effective Date. Accordingly, this Court orders that:
- (a) Without further action by anyone, and subject to paragraph 8 below, upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, Lead Plaintiffs and each of the other Class Members, on behalf of themselves, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of law and of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever compromised, settled, released, resolved, relinquished, waived, and discharged any and all of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims against Defendants and Defendants' Released Parties, whether or not such Class Member executes and delivers a Claim or objects to the Settlement, and shall forever be barred and enjoined from prosecuting, commencing, instituting, or continuing to prosecute any action or other proceeding in any court of law or equity, arbitration tribunal, or

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27 28 administrative forum, asserting any or all of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims against any of the Defendants' Released Parties. This Release shall not apply to any of the Excluded Plaintiffs' Claims (as that term is defined in paragraph 1(qq) of the Stipulation).

- (b) Without further action by anyone, and subject to paragraph 8 below, upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, Defendants, on behalf of themselves, and their Related Parties, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of law and of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever compromised, settled, released, resolved, relinquished, waived, and discharged any and all Released Defendants' Claims against Lead Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Released Parties, and shall forever be barred and enjoined from prosecuting, commencing, instituting, or continuing to prosecute any action or other proceeding in any court of law or equity, arbitration tribunal, or administrative forum, asserting any or all of the Released Defendants' Claims against any of the Plaintiffs' Released Parties. This Release shall not apply to any of the Excluded Defendants' Claims (as that term is defined in paragraph 1(pp) of the Stipulation).
- No person or entity shall have any claim against Lead Plaintiffs, Lead (c) Counsel, the Claims Administrator, or any other agent designated by Lead Counsel, or Defendants' Released Parties and/or their respective counsel, arising from distributions made substantially in accordance with the Stipulation, the Plan of Allocation approved by the Court, or any order of the Court. Lead Plaintiffs and Defendants, and their respective counsel, and all other Releasees shall have no liability whatsoever for the investment or distribution of the Settlement Fund, or the Net Settlement Fund, the Plan of Allocation, or the determination, administration, calculation, or payment of any claim or nonperformance of the Claims Administrator, the payment or withholding of taxes (including interest and penalties) owed by the Settlement Fund, or any losses incurred in connection therewith.
- 8. Notwithstanding paragraphs 7(a) - (c) above, nothing in this Judgment shall bar any action by any of the Parties to enforce or effectuate the terms of the Stipulation or this Judgment.
- 9. Rule 11 Findings – The Court finds and concludes that the Parties and their respective counsel have complied in all respects with the requirements of Rule 11 of the Federal

Rules of Civil Procedure in connection with the institution, prosecution, defense, and settlement of the Action.

- 10. <u>No Admissions</u> Neither this Judgment, the Term Sheet, the Stipulation (whether or not consummated), including the exhibits thereto and the Plan of Allocation contained therein (or any other Plan of Allocation that may be approved by the Court), the negotiations leading to the execution of the Term Sheet and the Stipulation, nor any proceedings taken pursuant to or in connection with the Term Sheet, the Stipulation, and/or approval of the Settlement (including any arguments proffered in connection therewith):
- (a) shall be offered against any of the Defendants' Released Parties as evidence of, or construed as, or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by any of the Defendants' Released Parties with respect to the truth of any fact alleged by Lead Plaintiffs or the validity of any claim that was or could have been asserted or the deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been asserted in this Action or in any other litigation, or of any liability, negligence, fault, or other wrongdoing of any kind of any of the Defendants' Released Parties or in any way referred to for any other reason as against any of the Defendants' Released Parties, in any arbitration proceeding or other civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of the Stipulation;
- (b) shall be offered against any of the Plaintiffs' Released Parties, as evidence of, or construed as, or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by any of the Plaintiffs' Released Parties that any of their claims are without merit, that any of the Defendants' Released Parties had meritorious defenses, or that damages recoverable under the Amended Complaint would not have exceeded the Settlement Amount or with respect to any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing of any kind, or in any way referred to for any other reason as against any of the Plaintiffs' Released Parties, in any arbitration proceeding or other civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of the Stipulation; or shall be construed against any of the Releasees as an admission, concession, or presumption that the consideration to be given under the Settlement represents the amount that could be or would have been recovered after trial;

- (c) provided, however, that the Parties and the Releasees and their respective counsel may refer to this Judgment and the Stipulation to effectuate the protections from liability granted hereunder and thereunder or otherwise to enforce the terms of the Settlement. Defendants' Released Parties may file the Stipulation and/or this Judgment in any other action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.
- 11. **Retention of Jurisdiction** Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court retains continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) the Parties for purposes of the administration, interpretation, implementation, and enforcement of the Settlement; (b) the disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c) any motion for an award of attorneys' fees and/or Litigation Expenses by Lead Counsel in the Action that will be paid from the Settlement Fund; (d) any motion to approve the Plan of Allocation; (e) any motion to approve the Class Distribution Order; and (f) the Class Members for all matters relating to the Action.
- 12. Separate orders shall be entered regarding approval of a Plan of Allocation and the motion of Lead Counsel for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses. Such orders shall in no way affect or delay the finality of this Judgment and shall not affect or delay the Effective Date of the Settlement.
- Modification of the Agreement of Settlement Without further approval from the Court, Lead Plaintiffs and Defendants are hereby authorized to agree to and adopt such amendments or modifications of the Stipulation or any exhibits attached thereto to effectuate the Settlement that:

 (a) are not materially inconsistent with this Judgment; and (b) do not materially limit the rights of Class Members in connection with the Settlement. Without further order of the Court, Lead Plaintiffs and Defendants may agree to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any provisions of the Settlement.
- 14. <u>Termination of Settlement</u> If the Settlement is terminated as provided in the Stipulation or the Effective Date of the Settlement otherwise fails to occur, this Judgment shall be vacated, rendered null and void, and be of no further force and effect, except as otherwise provided

Case 3:20-cv-00547-WHA Document 269-2 Filed 03/23/23 Page 8 of 11

1	by the Stipulation, and this Judgment shall be without prejudice to the rights of Lead Plaintiffs, the				
2	other Class Members, and Defendants, and the Parties shall revert to their respective positions in				
3	the Action immediately prior to the execution of the Term Sheet on August 19, 2022, as provided				
4	in the Stipulation.				
5	15. Entry of Final Judgment – There is no just reason to delay the entry of this				
6	Judgment as a final judgment in this Action. Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is expressly				
7	directed to immediately enter this final judgment in this Action.				
8					
9	SO ORDERED this day of, 2023.				
10					
11	DATED:HON. WILLIAM ALSUP				
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	-8- Case No. 3:20-cv -00547-WHA (DMR) [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT				
	LI KOLODEN LATINO VINO CEMBO ACTION DEL LEEMENT				

2

Appendix 1

List of Exclusions

3	Name		City	State	
	1.	Kimberly A Bourque	Carlisle	PA	
4	2.	Calvin Hackley	Upper Marlboro	MD	
5	3.	Thomas J Pizzuto and Thomas J.	Malvern	PA	
3		Pizzuto IRA and Thomas J.			
6		Pizzuto Roth IRA			
	4.	Susan Rothstein Schwimmer	New York	NY	
7	5.	Wesley Le	Escondido	CA	
	6.	Arpiben Shah	Deer Park	NY	
8	7.	Arthur Groome	Heath	TX	
9	8.	Richard H Ronan	Newark	ОН	
	9.	Sam Karhbet	Lafayette	LA	
10	10.	Robert W Hutchinson	Reading	PA	
	11.	Marco Tiberii	Charlton	MA	
11	12.	Danny Charbonneau	Canton	TX	
12	13.	Igor Maksymyuk	Irvine	CA	
12	14.	Sudipto Mondal	Saint Paul	MN	
13	15.	Tammy Davis	New Waterford	OH	
10	16.	Ansamma Saju Paul	Philadelphia	PA	
14	17.	Bruce A Sanders (Retail Acct)	Marietta	GA	
	18.	Matthew F Sanders (Roth IRA)	Marietta	GA	
15	19.	Donna L Sanders	Marietta	GA	
16	20.	Joseph M Moscogiuri	Toms River	NJ	
10	21.	Darryl J Wolff	Cape Coral	FL	
17	22.	Deborah E Wolff	Cape Coral	FL	
	23.	Amanda K Wolff	Cape Coral	FL	
18	24.	Ashley Hettinger	Cedar Rapids	IA	
10	25.	Jacob Cunningham	Kansas City	KS	
19	26.	Franklin Hare	Sterling Heights	MI	
20	27.	Edward Bonde	King of Prussia	PA	
20	28.	Bob Flick	Crandall	TX	
21	29.	Javad Vahidi	Los Angeles	CA	
	30.	Howard B Brown	Hiawassee	GA	
22	31.	Andrew Shatley	Bear Costro Valley	DE	
23	32.	Hanford Quock	Castro Valley	CA	
23	33.	Dale Newell	Hamburg	NY	
24	34.	Timothy A Fram	Lowell	MA	
	35.	Gregory D Isaac Iris L Sun	Parma	MI	
25	36. 37.	David A Griffin	Foster City	CA	
26		Charles D Carter	Stoughton Carrollton	MA OH	
26	38. 39.	Sandra L Hoffman		MI	
27	39. 40.	Youngwon Hahn	Temperance Everett	WA	
۷ /	40.	Jason Baker	Raleigh	NC	
28	42.	Tyler Coleman	Whitmore Lake	MI	
	72.	1 y 101 Colonian	Williamore Lake	1411	
		[D 1 Y 1	-9-	Case No. 3:20-cv -00547-WHA (DM	(R)

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

1	43.	Shahram Safavi	San Diego	CA
2	44.	Keith Shuster	Swedesboro	NJ
2	45.	Alex Amor	Eagan	MN
3	46.	Demetrios Liaros	Bradenton	FL
5	47.	Robert Stupar	Chicago	IL
4	48.	William Stupar	Chicago	IL
	49.	William Charles Waldrop	Goochland	VA
5	50.	Janet Lavonne Little	Cedar Bluff	VA
	51.	Sanders Saint Jour	Brooklyn	NY
6	52.	Carol Bratton	Aptos	CA
7	53.	Steven A Demarest	Davie	FL
′	54.	Sherri L Despiegler	Davie	FL
8	55.	Daniel Ruihan Qi	Orlando	FL
	56.	Matt Fina	Cary	IL
9	57.	Preston Jones	Grand Prairie	TX
10	58.	Alexander Dietl	Ithaca	NY
10	59.	Tony Russo	Hueytown	AL
11	60.	Christopher Szoly	Rocky River	OH
11	61.	Maurice L Bakke and Maurice L.	Gainesville	VA
12		Bakke Single Account and		
		Maurice L. Bakke and Mary		
13		Bakke JTWROS		
	62.	Mary Bakke	Gainesville	VA
14	63.	Monty Milne	Phoenixville	PA
15	64.	Duan Zhang	Bristol	CT
13	65.	Alvin Laohapant	Silver Spring	MD
16	66.	Michael Hardwick (deceased)	Aiken	SC
	67.	Patricia W Hardwick (executor)	Aiken	SC
17	68.	Spencer Wu	Henderson	NV
1.0	69.	Varghese Xavier	Floral Park	NY
18	70.	Alan Lauver		
19	71.	Matthew Stratton	Anderson	SC
1)	72.	Piotr Niedzwiedz	Orlando	FL
20	73.	Ron Brockway	Medina	ОН
	74.	Gary Cornell Woolridge	Smith's Parish	Bermuda
21	75.	Charles B VonCanon III	Mooresville	NC
22	76.	McKayla Pate	Lovettsville	VA
22	77.	Chad Allie	Frisco	TX
23	78.	George Brodbeck	Berkeley	Australia
23	79.	Carl J Frank	Osceola	WI
24	80.	Aaron Kurjan	Traverse City	MI
	81.	Subrina Chowtie	Towson	MD
25	82.	Erin Bramer Landtroop	Dripping Springs	TX
2.	83.	Daniel Targonski	Maspeth	NY
26	84.	Timothy Townsend-Gant Sr	Portland	OR
27	85.	Kimbarlie Zimmerman	Brooklyn Park	MN
- /	86.	Matthew Johnson	Altoona	PA
28	87.	Andrew Indovina	Fairport	NY
			1	
			-10-	Case No. 3:20-cv -00547-WHA (DMR)
		[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT A		
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

Case 3:20-cv-00547-WHA Document 269-2 Filed 03/23/23 Page 11 of 11

1	88.	Shaila Sadia Seth Schiffbauer	Sterling	VA
2	89. 90.	Stephanie Gray	Phoenix Goldsboro	AZ NC
3	91. 92.	Victoria Stubbs Shane Forler	Laurel Wilmington	MD NC
4	93. 94.	Cagri Yenigun Sarah Virden	Savoy	IL
5	95.	Jerry Holick	Ormond Beach Plano	FL TX
6	96. 97.	Pietro Hassan Geroli Alex Hernandez-Hualde	Montreal Virginia Beach	Canada VA
7	98. 99.	David Lee Larry W Riley	Pasadena Port St Lucie	CA FL
8	100.	Mary C Riley	Port St Lucie	FL
9	101. 102.	Lim Hock Thong Lee Sok Cheng		Singapore Singapore
10	103. 104.	Ryan Jentz James Marcus	Franklin Lakes	NJ
11	105. 106.	Ranjith Kumar Chilumuru Mark A AuBuchon	O'Fallon	MO
12	100.	Mark A Auduction	Marysville	WA
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
			-11-	Case No. 3:20-cv -00547-WHA (DMR)
		[PROPOSED] JUDGMEN	NT APPROVING CLASS AC	CTION SETTLEMENT